

Revista Española de Sociología

RES

Volumen 28 Número 2, Mayo 2019



Federación Española de Sociología

FES



Correspondencia / Send Correspondence to:

Federación Española de Sociología
Montalbán, 8
28014 Madrid
Email: res@fes-sociologia.com

Indexación / Abstracting and indexing services:

Claritative Analytics/Emerging Sources Citation Index (ESCI); Scopus-SJR; IN-RECS; Sociological Abstracts; EBSCO; Dialnet; ISOC-CSIC; International Bibliography of the Social Sciences; Fuente Académica Premier; Social Services Abstracts; Worldwide Political Science Abstracts; LATINDEX (Catálogo); CIRC (GRUPO B); CARHUS2014 (C); RESH; ARCE-FECYT

© Federación Española de Sociología, 2019.

Las opiniones vertidas en los artículos y otros trabajos publicados en la RES son de exclusiva responsabilidad de sus autores, así como la credibilidad y autenticidad de sus trabajos. Se ruega a los autores que especifiquen los posibles conflictos de intereses y adopten un comportamiento ético en su proceder. La RES declina cualquier responsabilidad sobre posibles conflictos derivados de la autoría de los trabajos que se publican en la misma. Los autores pueden encontrar información detallada sobre las normas de publicación en las páginas finales de cada número y accediendo a la página web de la revista.

The statements and opinions expressed in the articles published by the Revista Española de Sociología are the sole responsibility of the author(s), as are their credibility and authenticity. Authors are requested to inform us of any possible conflicts of interest and to adopt ethical behaviour. RES accepts no liability for possible conflicts or problems resulting from articles published in the journal. Authors can find detailed information about our publication guidelines in the final pages of this issue and on the journal's webpage.

Suscripción / Subscription:

Federación Española de Sociología
Montalbán, 8
28014 Madrid
Email: res@fes-sociologia.com

Marcial Pons Librero
San Sotero, 6
28037 Madrid
Email: revistas@marcialpons.es

Suscripción anual en formato impreso (tres números al año, gastos de envío incluidos. Pago por transferencia bancaria a la Federación Española de Sociología)

- Suscripción anual individual (tres números/año): 90 euros
- Suscripción anual para instituciones (tres números/año): 150 euros

Número suelto: 25 euros (gastos de envío incluidos. Pago por transferencia bancaria a la Federación Española de Sociología)

Precios para envíos postales en España. En envíos al extranjero se cargará el coste extra del envío postal.

ISSN: 1578-2824

Depósito legal: M. 39.063-2001

Revista Española de Sociología

RES

Volumen 28 Número 2, Mayo 2019

La **Revista Española de Sociología (RES)** es la revista oficial de la Federación Española de Sociología (FES). Es una publicación de la principal asociación científica de los profesionales de la sociología de España, independiente de los poderes públicos y al servicio de la comunidad sociológica.

La RES es una revista arbitrada que utiliza el sistema de revisión externa por expertos (*peer review*). La RES publica trabajos científicos originales e inéditos de carácter empírico o teórico y notas sobre investigaciones sociológicas o áreas afines. También publica secciones de debates, estados de la cuestión, secciones monográficas y contenidos de especial interés para la comunidad sociológica.

La revista se edita en formato impreso y electrónico. Desde 2016 publica tres números regulares al año y está abierta a la publicación de números especiales.

La RES aspira a alcanzar la mayor calidad posible en los trabajos que publique, aplicando para ello los procedimientos de evaluación universalista y anónima habituales en revistas científicas.

*The **Revista Española de Sociología (RES)** is the official journal of the Spanish Federation of Sociology (Federación Española de Sociología, FES). It is a publication written by the most important association of scholars and professionals in the sociological field. Independent from the public powers and it is aimed to serve the goals of the Spanish sociological community.*

*The **RES** is a peer review journal, it publishes original research work on the theory, practice and methods of sociology along with research notes about sociological issues as well as other related fields. The RES also has a section about controversies, state of the art articles together with monographic issues and other contents of special interest for the sociological community.*

The journal is available both in printed and an electronic formats, available at the web page <http://www.fes-web.org/res/>. Since 2016 it has published three issues per year and proposals for special issues are welcome.

Peer review is undertaken with a particular emphasis given to exceptional quality and current relevance.

Director / Editor-in-Chief:

Manuel Fernández Esquinas (CSIC) Presidente de la Federación Española de Sociología

Consejo Editorial / Editors:

Carlos Jesús Fernández Rodríguez (Universidad Autónoma de Madrid)

Josep Lobera Serrano (Universidad Autónoma de Madrid)

Matilde Massó Lago (Universidade da Coruña)

Julián Cárdenas (Universidad Libre de Berlín y Universidad de Antioquia)

Celia Díaz Catalán (Universidad Complutense de Madrid)

Joaquim Rius Ulldemolins (Universidad de Valencia)

Consejo de Redacción / Editorial Board:

Cristóbal Torres Albero (Universidad Autónoma de Madrid)

Constanza Tobío Soler (Universidad Carlos III de Madrid)

Emilio Lamo de Espinosa (Universidad Complutense de Madrid)

José Luis García (Universidad de Lisboa)

Julio Carabaña Morales (Universidad Complutense de Madrid)

Luis Garrido Medina (UNED)

Aaron Cicourel (University of California, Berkeley)

Agnes van Zanten (CNRS, Paris)

Alejandro Portes (Princeton University)

Fabrizio Bernardi (European University Institute, Florencia)

Michel Wieviorka (Ecole des Hautes Etudes en Sciences Sociales, Paris)
Thierry Desrues (CSIC-IESA)

María Dolores Martín Lagos (Universidad de Granada)
Miguel Requena y Díez de Revenga (UNED)
Remo Fernández Carro (Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha)
Joan Miquel Verd (Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona)
Laura Oso Casas (Universidade da Coruña)
Amparo Serrano Pascual (Universidad Complutense de Madrid)
Jordi Garreta Bochaca (Universidad de Lleida)
Benjamín Tejerina Montaña (Universidad del País Vasco)
Luis Enrique Alonso Benito (Universidad Autónoma de Madrid)
Verónica de Miguel Luken (Universidad de Málaga)
Ana Arriba González de Durana (Universidad de Alcalá de Henares)
Antón Álvarez Sousa (Universidade da Coruña)
Celso Sánchez Capdequí (Universidad Pública de Navarra)
María del Mar Griera (Universitat Auntonoma de Barcelona)
Almudena Moreno Mínguez (Universidad de Valladolid)
Dulce Manzano Espinosa (Universidad Complutense de Madrid)
Ana María López Sala (CSIC-CCHS)
Cecilia Díaz Méndez (Universidad de Oviedo)

Consejo Asesor / Advisory Board:

Teresa González de la Fe (Universidad de La Laguna)
Capitolina Díaz Martínez (Universidad de Valencia)
Luciano Luigi Pellicani (Università Internazionale degli Studi Sociali, Roma)
Helena Béjar Merino (Universidad Complutense de Madrid)
Miguel Beltrán Villalba (Universidad Autónoma de Madrid)
Teresa Montagut Antoli (Universidad de Barcelona)
Antonio Ariño Villarroya (Universidad de Valencia)
Alexandro Bonano (Texas State University, USA)
Sergio Scheneider (Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Brasil)
Cornelia Flora (Iowa State University, USA)
Mike Rigby (London South Bank University)
Raúl Ruiz (Universidad de Alicante, Asociación Valenciana de Sociología)
Ángel Alonso Domínguez (Universidad de Oviedo, Asociación Asturiana de Sociología)
Antonio Trinidad Requena (Universidad de Granada, Asociación Andaluza de Sociología)
Fidel Molina (Universidad de Lleida, Asociación Catalana de Sociología)
Lola Frutos Balibrea (Universidad de Murcia, Asociación Murciana de Sociología y Ciencia Política)
Teodoro Hernández de Frutos (Universidad Pública de Navarra, Asociación Navarra de Sociología)
José Antonio Díaz Martínez (Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Asociación Madrileña de Sociología)
Jaime Mingujón Pablo (Universidad de Zaragoza, Asociación Aragonesa de Sociología)
Marcelo Sánchez-Oro Sánchez (Universidad de Extremadura, Asociación de Ciencias Sociales de Extremadura)
Octavio Uña Juárez (Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, Asociación Castellano-Manchega de Sociología)
Imanol Zubero Beaskoetxea (Universidad del País Vasco, Asociación Vasca de Sociología y Ciencia Política)
Félix Requena Santos (Universidad de Málaga, Presidente del CIS)
Xavier Bonal Sarró (Universidad de Barcelona, Asociación de Sociología de la Educación)
Rodolfo Gutiérrez Palacios (Universidad de Oviedo, Vicepresidente de la FES)
Lucila Finkel Morgenstern (Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Vicepresidenta de la FES)
Marius Domínguez i Amorós (Universidad de Barcelona, Vicepresidente de la FES)
Marian Ispizua Uribarri (Universidad del País Vasco, Vicepresidenta de la FES)
José Antonio Gómez Yáñez (Consultor, Universidad Carlos III, Secretario Ejecutivo de la FES)
Roberto Barbeito Iglesias (Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, Vocal de la FES)
Clara Guilló Girard (Consultora, Vocal de la FES)

Sumario / Contents

RES 28, Número 2, Mayo 2019

Artículos / Articles:

- Inequality beyond networking: personal networks and mobilization of contacts by young job seekers in Barcelona / *La desigualdad más allá de la movilización de contactos: redes personales y movilización de contactos de jóvenes que buscan trabajo en Barcelona*
Dafne Muntanyola Saura; Oriol Barranco; Mattia Vacchiano 207-226
- Sociologizando la resiliencia. El papel de la participación socio-comunitaria y política en las estrategias de afrontamiento de la crisis / *Sociologizing resilience. The role of socio-communitarian and political participation on the strategies to cope with the crisis*
Araceli Serrano Pascual; María Paz Martín Martín; Carlos de Castro Pericacho 227-247
- La implicación paterna en el cuidado de los hijos en España antes y durante la recesión económica / *Father involvement in the care of children in Spain before and during the economic recession*
Lluís Flaquer; Lara Navarro-Varas; Fernando Antón-Alonso; Núria Ruiz-Forès; Albert Cónsola. 249-268
- Nuevas imágenes del cambio familiar en España / *New images of the familiar change in Spain*
Luis Ayuso Sánchez 269-287
- Goffman y los videojuegos: Una aproximación sociológica desde la perspectiva dramaturgica a los dispositivos videolúdicos / *Goffman and Videogames: A Sociological Approach from the Dramaturgical Perspective to Entertainment and Leisure Devices*
Héctor Puente Bienvenido; Costán Sequeiros Bruna 289-304
- Un análisis discursivo del caciquismo inmobiliario. El caso de Jesús Gil en Marbella / *A discursive analysis of an immobiliary «cacique». The case of Jesús Gil in Marbella*
Pedro M. Rey-Araujo 305-322
- Teoría del actor-red. Síntesis y evaluación de la deriva postsocial de Bruno Latour / *Actor-Network Theory. Synthesis and Evaluation of Bruno Latour's Post-Social Drift*
Jósean Larión 323-341

Sección monográfica / Monographic Section:

Introducción a la Sección Monográfica. Abordando algunas cuestiones laborales después de la crisis / <i>Introduction to the Monographic section. Dealing with some labour issues after the crisis</i> Miguel Ángel García Calavia (coordinador)	345-346
Subjetividad precaria como recurso productivo. Crisis, trabajo e identidad en las periferias metropolitanas desindustrializadas / <i>Precarious subjectivity as productive resource: Crisis, work and identity in the deindustrialized urban peripheries</i> Pablo López Calle	347-364
Jóvenes en perpetuo tránsito hacia ninguna parte / <i>Young people in perpetual transit to nowhere</i> Vicent Borràs Català; Sara Moreno Colom; Paloma Candela Soto; Matxalen Legarreta Iza.	365-380
Las trayectorias de las instituciones laborales españolas ante las recientes presiones económicas: ¿Cómo quedan los recursos para los sindicatos? / <i>The direction of Spanish labour relations institutions in the face of recent economic pressures. What power resources do they still offer to the trade unions?</i> Miguel Ángel García Calavia; Mike Rigby	381-399

Reseñas de libros e informes / Books and Reports Reviews:

<i>Excluidos de la felicidad. La estratificación social del bienestar emocional en España</i> , de Eduardo Bericat Gabriela Delsignore	403-406
<i>Democracias robotizadas</i> , de Luis Moreno y Raúl Jiménez Teresa González de la Fe	407-410

Artículos / Articles

Inequality Beyond Networking: Personal Networks and Mobilization of Contacts by Young Job Seekers in Barcelona / *La desigualdad más allá de la movilización de contactos: redes personales y movilización de contactos de jóvenes que buscan trabajo en Barcelona*

*Dafne Muntanyola-Saura

Centre d'Estudis Sociològics sobre la Vida Quotidiana i el Treball (QUIT). Institut d'Estudis del Treball. Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona. España / Spain
dafne.muntanyola@uab.cat

Oriol Barranco

Centre d'Estudis Sociològics sobre la Vida Quotidiana i el Treball (QUIT). Institut d'Estudis del Treball. Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona. España / Spain
oriol.barranco@uab.cat

Mattia Vacchiano

Swiss National Centre of Competence in Research LIVES. University of Lausanne Suiza / Switzerland
Mattia.Vacchiano@unil.ch

Recibido / Received: 04/07/2018

Aceptado / Accepted: 25/10/2018



ABSTRACT

How young job seekers mobilize their contacts in the labour market? We look at mobilization of personal networks of young adults in Barcelona. We consider the strength of ties and status homophily as mechanisms of personal networks as for the consolidation of social capital. Our qualitative analysis of 18 interviews with job seekers explores their personal networks and labour market trajectories. We applied Social Network Analysis (SNA). Our analysis of social capital indicates the existence of a relation between the cultural and economic capitals of job seekers and the compositional features of their networks. Results show how networks are similarly heterogeneous in terms of strength of ties, and mostly homophilous in educational levels. But these similarities in terms of social capital come with sharp inequalities in the patterns of mobilized contacts and their success in finding a job. These differences can be explained by the type and volume of capitals of job seekers. Those with better positions in the social structure and stable trajectories seem to mobilize fewer contacts more efficiently, getting better outcomes.

Keywords: Social Capital, Networking, Social Network Analysis, Labour Market, Inequality.

RESUMEN

¿Cómo los jóvenes que buscan trabajo mobilizan sus contactos en el mercado laboral? Analizamos la movilización de redes personales de adultos jóvenes en Barcelona. Consideramos la fuerza de los lazos y la homofilia de status como mecanismos de las redes personales para la consolidación de capital social. Presentamos un análisis cualitativo de 18 entrevistas con jóvenes que buscan trabajo (29-34 años), explorando sus redes personales y sus trayectorias. Usamos el análisis de redes sociales (ARS). Nuestros resultados dan cuenta de la existencia de una relación entre los capitales culturales y económicos de los solicitantes de empleo y las características de composición de sus redes. Las redes son similarmente heterogéneas en términos de la fuerza de sus vínculos, y en su mayoría igualmente homófilas en los niveles educativos. Estas similitudes en términos de capital social conllevan desigualdades en los patrones de contactos movilizados y el éxito en encontrar trabajo. Las diferencias se pueden explicar por el tipo y el volumen de capitales de los solicitantes de empleo. Los que tienen mejores posiciones en la estructura social y trayectorias estables parecen movilizar menos contactos de forma más eficiente, obteniendo mejores resultados.

Palabras clave: capital social, redes, análisis de redes sociales, mercado de trabajo, desigualdad.

*Autor para correspondencia / Corresponding author: Dafne Muntanyola-Saura. Centre d'Estudis Sociològics sobre la Vida Quotidiana i el Treball (QUIT) - Institut d'Estudis del Treball, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Campus Bellaterra 08193, Barcelona.

Sugerencia de cita / Suggested citation: Muntanyola-Saura, D.; Barranco, O. y Vacchiano, M. (2019). Inequality beyond networking: personal networks and mobilization of contacts by young job seekers in Barcelona. *Revista Española de Sociología*, 28 (2), 207-226.

(Doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.22325/fes/res.2019.01>)

INTRODUCTION

How young job seekers mobilize their contacts in the labour market? Networking, understood as the socially shared meaning of workers mobilizing weak ties, is just a small part of the reality of looking for a job. The effective use of social capital and its differential mobilization patterns are often neglected within the existing literature. Such omission contributes to the blackboxing of key concepts in social capital research such as that of *networking*, *strength of ties*, and *homophily*.

Networking can improve the status and economic position of the individuals within the social structure. But the mobilization of weak contacts might not help in finding a job, because they depend on the individual's social position, as well as on the social attributes of the members of the personal network. The same source (the social attributes of the personal network) may provide two different effects (finding a job, or not). Moreover, the mobilization of contacts, which includes but cannot be reduced to networking, is an interactional practice determined mainly by the resources available through personal networks (Lin, 2001; Bottero, 2007; De Federico, 2007).

Although the “strength-of-weak-ties” thesis (Granovetter, 1973) underlines the capacity of these contacts to facilitate richer and more diverse information for getting a job, weak ties might not be effective (Bidart *et al.*, 2011), and strong ties may be a more important source during the job search (Marsden and Gorman, 2001; Yakubovich, 2005; Vacchiano *et al.* 2018). The prevalence of strong and weak ties in successful mobilization is open for discussion. We will thus problematize the conventional take on weak and strong contacts and seek new empirical evidence for their operationalization.

More specifically, in order to know how job seekers mobilize contacts, we define the characteristics of their personal networks in terms of strength of ties, the position of their members in the social structure in terms of economic and cultural capitals, and we analyse the presence of *status homophily* (Lazarsfeld and Merton, 1954).¹

Following Muntanyola-Saura (2014b), Vacchiano (2017) and Barranco *et al.* (2018), we adapt this classic concept to personal networks analysis and we understand that this occurs when members of a personal network occupy a similar position in the social structure and thus share social attributes such as gender, age, educational level or occupational status. Moreover, we pay attention to the labour market trajectories of job seekers and the weight they might have in the formation of their career expectations.

Our objectives are two: one the one hand, to analyze how young job seekers in Spain use personal contacts to look for a job, and on the other hand, how they eventually find it. Our claim is that the economic and cultural capitals of job seekers, as well as the compositional features of their personal networks, shape the mobilization patterns of contacts. Social capital mobilization spawns from economic and cultural differences between job seekers, reflecting differences in their resources and employment aspirations.

Accordingly, we present here the results from a mixed-method analysis of eighteen interviews with Spanish job seekers aged 29 to 34. The interviews were carried out with young adults living in the Metropolitan Area of Barcelona in 2014. We selected the eighteen interviews out of a sample of 250 interviews from which we collected labour market trajectories and personal networks. Moreover, we provide a detailed analysis of three selected cases with Social Network Analysis (SNA). Through these cases we describe *how* differences in the use of contacts come from economic and cultural inequalities between agents, driving the process of contact mobilization.

In the following section we develop theoretically the concepts of social capital, strong and weak contacts, status homophily and career expectations. We include a methods section in which we explain our tools for data collection and analysis. We analyse the interviews using the EgoNet, UCINET and Visone softwares and locate the mobilized contacts within the graph of the interviewees'

¹ Lazarsfeld and Merton (1954) distinguish between *status homophily* and *value homophily*. The former takes

into account the socio-demographic or status characteristics as criteria for analysing ties' traits, while the latter is based on common beliefs, norms and ideology.

personal networks. Our findings on the patterns of contact mobilization are explained from a relational perspective in terms of volume and density of the network, centrality measures, presence of homophily and of strong/weak ties. An analysis of the interviewees' micro-narratives shows how differences in educational level and occupational category are associated with different subjective expectations of job seekers. We discuss how the educational level and the occupational category of the interviewees shape the type and volume of successful mobilized contacts. The three types of trajectories from our findings show how job seekers with different levels of economic and cultural capitals have diverse patterns of contact mobilization. Job seekers with better positions in the social structure and stable trajectories seem to mobilize fewer contacts more efficiently, getting better outcomes.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Since Granovetter's (1973) work on the importance of weak ties in finding a job, the role of social networks in the labour market has attracted widespread attention. However, the literature on the mobilization of contacts is conflated with networking. In other words, networking is a subcategory that stands for a category as a whole. Contact mobilization is much more than white-collar workers mobilizing weak contacts, which is the socially shared meaning of networking. From our point of view, networking is in fact what Lakoff (1987) calls a social stereotype, concretely one that follows the inequality of social structure (Muntanyola-Saura, 2014a). As Smith (2000: 530) suggests, by ignoring *how* the contact may have aided in the matching process, researchers cannot determine the extent to which job seekers were actually mobilizing and benefiting from their social resources. Behtoui and Neergaard (2010: 774) also consider that more research is needed to establish how social capital works in the career progress of individuals and in different parts of the labour market. In fact, a large portion of the service market behaves like the art market (Brynjolfsson and McAfee, 2014:155). In this *winner takes all* market there is an individualistic tendency that shapes the mobilization of contacts in

white-collar sectors such as business, marketing and sales.

The concept of social capital includes that of networking. Portes (2010) redefines the exploratory power of social capital. He follows Bourdieu's, Granovetter's (1973, 2003) and Burt's (1992) structuralism and distances himself from Putnam (2000), who considers social capital as a collective value. While Portes (2010) seems to take the strength of ties as a resolved issue, we agree with Cruz and Verd (2013) that weak contacts are still a black box. Portes considers using two types of social ties: family (or strong) ties and extra familial (or weak) ties. He claims that Bourdieu considers only weak ties as social capital, classifying family contacts as part of cultural capital. However, a detailed reading of the two Bourdieu's (1979, 1986) texts quoted by Portes (2010: 61-64) calls into question this interpretation. In Bourdieu (1979: 4), strong family ties are those that make possible a late insertion into the labour market, thus buying time towards a transitioning adulthood through higher education. The time for studying that families provide generates the institutional capital that belongs to the more general cultural capital:

"Furthermore, and in correlation with this, the length of time for which a given individual can prolong his acquisition process depends on the length of time for which his family can provide him with the free time, i.e., time free from economic necessity, which is the precondition for the initial accumulation (time which can be evaluated as a handicap to be made up)". (Bourdieu, 1986: 49-50).

Bourdieu's (1979, 1986) social capital includes also schoolmates, who are strong ties and are crucial for reaching the best jobs (Macmillan *et al.*, 2011). In addition, Bourdieu (1986) specifically delimits the three species of capitals and makes the important claim that social capital, including both strong and weak contacts, is dependent upon cultural and economic capital (Bourdieu, 1986: 51). Smith (2000: 530) claims that the use of weak ties from work does not provide the same benefit to individuals of low socio-economic status as it does to those of high socio-economic status. Moreover, Obukhova and Lan (2013) show that having access to high social capital does not necessarily mean that the contacts will be mobilized. As stated by

Trimble (2013: 593), job seekers first need to access the contacts that might be resources for finding a job, and only later will they be able to mobilize them. Their contacts must have the social attributes relevant to find a job, such as educational credentials and professional experience (Lin 2001). Thus, higher economic and cultural capital comes with more efficient use of the network and better job outcomes.

Strength of ties is thus a key mechanism for consolidating social capital. And the literature connects it to another relational mechanism, that of *status homophily*. Campbell *et al.* (1986) modify Granovetter's "strength of weak ties" proposition by claiming that the weak ties of job seekers with low economic and cultural capital are less successful because these ties are being mobilized from small, dense, homophilous networks that lack influential ties. Lin (2001) suggests that the social networks of stigmatized groups provide less access to social capital because of their dominated position in the social structure. Smith (2005) shows that job contacts from stigmatized groups fail to provide referrals from their own. Moreover, because of the homophily principle underprivileged job seekers have restricted access to social capital. The social structuring of activity encourages individuals to develop relationships with others who are similar to them (Feld, 1982). By going about our daily routines we reinforce patterns of homophily. Thus, our choices are constrained by the social sorting that occurs in bars, neighbourhoods, workplaces, schools and the like, provoking a process of *differential association*. As Bottero (2007: 815) explains, differential association "means that disadvantaged groups tend to associate with people who are similarly disadvantaged, while the privileged likewise draw more of their contacts from the privileged". Thus, differential association "helps to reproduce inequalities in social position and resources".

In terms of the social construction of expectations, Portes (2010) looks into economic decision-making, including labour market choices, as embedded in the social sphere. Portes aligns himself with his analysis of rationality as a social structure beyond individual motivation, as well as with Granovetter (2003) and with Polanyi's (1989) concept of embeddedness, which revolutionized

the field of decision-making. Moreover, Bradley and Devadason (2008), in their study of British youth (20-34 years), relate their social expectations to four types of pathways in labour market: sticking (pursuing a single type of employment); settling (after a time of uncertainties, acting to settle the career in a particular job); shifting (shifting between different jobs in order); and switching (making a conscious choice of a major change of direction). In this sense, we locate the inequality of employment expectations within the wider scope of Sennett's (2012) take on face-to-face interaction as a new form of privilege. This author explores the socialization of cooperation and defines *inequality* as a product of the internalization of isolating routines in childhood. We consider inequality by exploring the narratives of the interviewees, putting our lens on the process of contact mobilization during the labour market pathway.

Our theoretical framework suggests different patterns of contact mobilization among job seekers depending on their attributes of economic capital, cultural capital and social capital. We will thus define the position of the interviewees in the Spanish social structure, the characteristics of their personal networks in terms of strength of ties, and we analyse the presence of status homophily in their personal networks. In this way, we open the black box of contact mobilization and look into the empirical roots of social capital in the labour market. Thus, we follow Herz *et al.*'s (2015: 2) claim on the necessity to put greater attention to the subjective interpretations of social relations. So we also take into account the employment trajectories and career expectations of Spanish job seekers.

METHODOLOGY

This article follows a "qualitative driven" approach to mixed methods (Mason, 2006) since its objectives and research design is typical of a qualitative perspective. A few cases are analyzed intensively and in detail following a case-oriented logic (Bernhard, 2018). We analyze from a holistic view the joint effects of different phenomena or elements from each specific case. Consequently, the results are not intended to be statistically generalizable,

but they have *analytical* (Yin, 2014) or *theoretical* (Hammersley, 2008) *generalisation value*. It can be inferred that the detected processes and realities may exist in other cases with similar social characteristics. The data collected is narrative —thus of a qualitative nature— and numerical —or quantitative—. Coherently, we analyzed the former with qualitative methods, and the latter with quantitative analysis methods from SNA. The results have been integrated into the joint interpretations of each case. Thus, at this technical level of data collection and analysis, mixed methods are put at the service of the objectives and general orientation of a qualitative nature.

SNA studies have been mostly focused on structural analysis. Such quantitative methods have been accused of “structural determinism” (Emirbayer and Goodwin, 1994). Nevertheless, qualitative methodologies are necessary because these social structures are embedded in social relations that ought to be explained. The introduction of a qualitative perspective in the study of social networks seems fundamental to us to improve the understanding of the phenomenon of contact mobilization. The processes of collecting and analyzing the data carried out are explained below.

Data collection

The data for this article come from a qualitative sample of 18 interviewees from 29 to 34 years old selected from a sample of 250 young adults from 20 to 34 years old, in which all interviewees had had at least one activity event in the labour market. The sample of 250 was part of a wider research project and the interviewees were people living in the Metropolitan Area of Barcelona in 2014.² The subsample of 18 interviewees was balanced by gender with 10 men and 8 women who were working or looking for a job. We selected the upper age tier of the overall sample because we were looking for young adults with an active labour market tra-

jectory of at least 5 years. We applied theoretical and empirical knowledge for the selection criteria of the 18 cases by choosing the qualitative strategy of *typological sampling* (Verd and Lozares, 2016: 115-120). The objective of this strategy is to obtain a representation of the different social patterns in the qualitative sample. Since our theoretical framework suggests different patterns of contacts mobilization among job seekers depending on their attributes of economic, cultural and social capitals, we selected individuals with different features in the first two types of capitals and we expected that they will have differences in terms of social capital. This strategy provided a sample of 18 interviewees different enough among them in terms of capitals to reach our analytical objectives (see Table 1).

The data was gathered by means of a hybrid survey (Axinn and Pearce, 2006: 103-137) that combined quantitative and qualitative elements. The interviews were computer-assisted with Egonet Software. The survey asked about the attributive characteristics and socio-economic background of the interviewees. We reconstructed the young interviewee's trajectory by means of retrospective questions with the physical aid of a qualitative longitudinal life grid on paper (Figure I). Then, we collected data about the type and effective use of the interviewees' personal network, the digital media and institutional settings involved, and the degree of success in finding a job. Following the free-list *name generator* (McCarty, 2002), we asked for the personal network of the interviewee, limited to 20 contacts, including family, friends and coworkers. We also asked for the socio-demographic features of their contacts, the type of help received from them in job seeking, the context in which they met, and information about the intensity and proximity of their relationship. Finally, we did a short qualitative interview with open questions to capture their career expectations. Our methodological stance was to make explicit the bidirectional transfers between qualitative data coming from the survey and quantitative data coming from the rest of the interview (see in Figure I).

In Spain, unemployment was over 15 per cent from 1980-2014. It fell to a historic low of 8.5 per cent in 2006, increased again with the economic recession to a historic high of 27.2 per cent in

² The whole sample was constructed following a non-probabilistic sampling strategy based on proportional quotas by gender, age, educational level, occupational category and country of birth.




Figure 1. Interview Tools: EgoNet software and Life Grid.

2013, and remained over 23 per cent in 2014. Just before the recession, Santos (2008) estimated that the segment of precarious employment characterized by poor working conditions (low wages, temporary contracts and/or non-voluntary part-time work) included a third of the active population. Temporary employment was over 30 per cent from the early 1990s to the beginning of the economic recession and around 24 per cent from then until 2014. Unemployment and precarious employment, including temporary employment, are crucial features of Spanish labour conditions among youth, immigrants and low-skilled workers. Since 2010, unemployment among people under 25 years of age has been above 40 per cent, with peaks of over 50 per cent in 2012 and 2014, while temporary employment reached 69 per cent in 2014 (Spanish Labour Force Survey). Integration in employment of young people leading to stable employment has decreased sharply, while that leading to precarious employment continues to grow (Serracant, 2010; Castelló *et al.*, 2013: 215-216).

Data analysis

Our analysis of 18 cases explores social capital mobilization in terms of the social attributes of the job seekers and the number and type of contacts mobilized during their labour market trajectories. In Table 1 in the next section we summarize the results from our analysis, showing the differences between groups in terms of numbers of mobilized contacts, successful mobilization, number of strong ties and

presence of homophily in the network. Initially, we determined homophily in terms of the degree of commonality of educational level and occupational category between the interviewees and the members of their personal network. Because of disparity in the data, and because our sample showed significant levels of unemployment, we finally applied educational credentials. We considered a network homophilous when more than 50 % of all ties had the same educational level. Homophilous networks occupied the upper tier of the similarity table, as levels of homophily are strong, close to 80 per cent.

We identified the interviewees' position in the social structure according to their global volume of economic and cultural capitals. Bourdieu (1979, 1986) defines economic capital as personal income and properties, taking into account that this could come from both personal earnings and family inheritance. In our operationalization, we selected as indicators of economic capital the occupational category of the parents and the interviewee, their current or last occupational status and the interviewee's income availability, including both earnings and family help and resources. We grouped the interviewees' incomes and their occupational categories into three categories following the *European Socio-Economic Classification* (Rose and Harrison, 2007: 470-471). There were no significant discrepancies between the categorisations of these two variables: higher occupational categories came with higher incomes. When looking at the interviewees' and their parents' occupational categories, we applied *dominant position* criterion (Erikson, 1984) in case of discrepancies. For instance, if the inter-

viewee had a low occupational status and his parents had a middle status, we classified the interviewee as having middle economic capital and we also chose the highest occupational status between the mother and the father. As a result, we grouped the interviewees into three categories of economic capital: *low*, which include routine, lower technical and lower services occupations with incomes lower than 1000 euros; *middle*, which is composed of lower supervisory, lower technician, self-employed in non-professional occupations and small employers with incomes between 1000 and 1500 euros; *high*, lower and high grade professional, administrative and managerial occupations and large employers with incomes higher than 1500 euros.

We measured cultural capital by selecting the highest educational attainment of both parents and that of the interviewee. Following the common in labour market studies, we only took into account the completed education level. The resulting classification is composed of three categories: *low*, which includes primary or no formal education; *middle* composed by secondary education; finally, *high with university educational credentials*. We prioritized the mother's educational level over that of fathers following Bourdieu (1979), a common trend in social stratification research (Meuret and Morlaix, 2006; Li *et al.*, 2008).

The characterisation of interviewees in terms of the global volume of economic and cultural capital allowed us to classify them into three categories of social status: the *high group* is composed by high economic and cultural capitals; the *middle group*, by middle economic and cultural capitals; the *lower group*, low economic and low cultural capitals. We did not have in our sample any contrasting cases, such as low cultural capital-high economic capital, or viceversa.

We drew up an inductive definition from the collected variables of strength of ties. Grannovetter uses duration, emotional intensity, intimacy and reciprocity, while Marsden and Campbell (1984) favour the subjective assessment of intimacy in strong links over predictors such as type of relationship. Another alternative comes from Lin (2001), who, as Cruz and Verd (2013: 169) point out, defines social capital as four resources or effects on relationships: the circulation of informa-

tion, the influence of agents, social credentials and individual status and recognition. We believe this definition confuses sources and effects because a source necessarily produces a given effect, which is far from a given. Lin's alternative to Grannovetter's definition is methodologically disappointing. Moreover, Lin (1999) conflates the binary expressivity/instrumentality with homophily and heterophily, thus considering objective status and resources as explanatory. We consider it unnecessary to take homophily as an indicator of the strength of relationships. Moreover, subjective assessment is not enough to measure a relational mechanism that comes from the interviewees' personal networks.

At this point, a claim from Cruz and Verd (2010) is useful in order to construct our own indicators. The expressive and social dimensions of relationships, that is the subjective and objective, must be separated. Moreover, we filtered the variable of subjective intimacy from our questionnaire with two more variables: the duration of the relation and the domain of the relationship. We thus combined a subjective criterion, that of intimacy, with two objective criteria, duration and the place of interaction. For instance, if the mother figured as a contact with low intimacy measures, we still considered her a strong contact because of the length of the relationship belonging to the family circle.

Using UCINET software, we analysed the centrality measures of every network and explore the interviewees' career expectations from the narrative section of the interview, categorizing subjective expectations by adapting the categories of Bradley and Devadason (2008), as cited above. Mobilized ties are taken from the number of contacts that are mentioned by the interviewees, that is, those members from the personal network who helped in seeking and finding jobs. In terms of centrality, we considered basic SNA's measures of *density*, *degree*, *closeness* and *betweenness*³. Higher degree,

3 *Density* is the number of ties divided by the number of pairs, the percentage of all possible ties that are actually present; *degree* (or *Freeman centrality*) expresses the degree of inequality or variance in the network as a percentage of a perfect star network of the same size; *closeness* is commonly defined as based on the average geodesic distance, which is the mean of the shortest path lengths between all connected pairs; fi-

betweenness and intermediation come with more influence, power and connectivity, independently of subjective perception. Thus, by introducing SNA centrality measures we define the mobilization of contacts relationally and not only by social attributes. Graphs made with Visone software show alters in different colours, depending on the type of aid: green for sharing information on job opportunities, yellow for influence on the process of recruitment, red for both mechanisms. We also labelled the nodes according to the normative content of the relation: family (1), professional ties (2), associations (3), educational ties (4), neighbours (5) and friends (6). Finally, we analysed the interviewees' narratives on their career expectations carrying out a *qualitative content analysis* (Verd and Lozares, 2016: 307-308) consisting in an interpretation of these narratives from Bradley and Devadason' (2008) types of pathways expectations introduced previously.

FINDINGS

In this section we include, first, the findings for the analysis of the 18 cases of the selected sample. Then, we present a detailed analysis of three cases that illustrate *how* the interviewees mobilize their contacts and show different success patterns. The analysis of these three cases also includes the SNA measures of the personal networks and the young adults' social expectations. The rest of cases (15) have also been analyzed in these terms but we do not include their results for the sake of brevity.

General patterns of inequality in network mobilization

All interviewees in our sample mobilized their personal contacts to seek employment. This finding matches the analysis of the whole sample of 250 interviewees provided by Vacchiano (2018: 127).

It seems to it be a generalized pattern. However, there are important differences in the way in which young people mobilize their personal contacts and the results obtained. We will point out six relevant findings.

The first finding is the existence of three patterns of mobilization depending on the proportion of mobilized contacts: low mobilization, which means the job seeker mobilized a maximum of 6 contacts from his network, i.e. a third or less of her contacts; moderate mobilization, having used between 7 and 13 contacts; and high mobilization, with at least 14.

The second finding refers to the fact that these three patterns are linked to the social profiles of the interviewees according to their volumes of economic and cultural capital. Thus, a low mobilization pattern (3 out of 4 contacts) only happens among young people with the highest levels of economic and cultural capital. The moderate mobilization pattern is linked to young people with medium levels (5/6) and also, but to a lesser extent, those with low capitals (5/8); conversely, only one interviewee (1/4) with high levels of capital has followed this pattern. Finally, the high mobilization pattern appears among low levels of capital (3/8) and, to a lesser extent, among those of the middle (1/6). By comparing the groups with the extremes—high and low capital levels—we consolidate the existence of the following logic: the higher the volume of cultural and economic capital comes with less number of contacts mobilized, and vice versa, the less volume of capitals, the more number of contacts mobilized.

The third finding is that, according to our definition of strong and weak ties, it is clear that the proportion that young people have of both types of contacts in their network of 20 contacts is variable. The sample goes from those personal networks with 7 or 8 weak ties and 13 or 12 strong ties, to those with 18 strong ties and 2 weak ties. Therefore, what is relevant to our analysis is the absence of a differential pattern in the composition of the interviewees' personal networks in terms of strength of ties. So the composition of social capital is not related to the volume and type of cultural and economic capital of the interviewees, nor to the type of employment trajectory. This is an important re-

nally, *betweenness* considers the relations that are most central by locating the geodesic paths between all pairs of actors, counting up how frequently each actor follows these pathways.

buttal to the commonplace beliefs that spawn from readings of Granovetter's (2003) classic approach to the strength of weak ties, which he links to highly qualified employees.

The fourth finding points out that having an homophilous network at the level of educational and socio-economic status has played mainly in favor of job seekers with high and medium cultural and economic capitals, and against those with low capital. Thus, the majority (7/8) of the former —high and middle— who have an homophilous network obtained a job thanks to their contacts, as in the case of Jan, which is the first case we presented in the next subsection. Conversely, none of the contacts of the interviewees with low capital and an homophilous network led them to find a job (4/6), as shown with the case of Julián, which is the second case we provide just below.

The fifth finding is that there are unequal patterns of effectiveness in the network mobilization of the network to find a job according to the volumes and type of capitals of the job seekers. Those with the highest levels of economic and cultural capital come with more successful patterns of mobilization of their contacts. All members (4/4) of the group with the highest levels of these capitals are currently employed thanks to the a personal contact, while the other two groups with less capitals show less successful results: 2 out of 6 cases among the groups of middle capitals, and 3 out of 8 among the group of low capitals.

Additionally, sticking and settling trajectories correspond to job seekers with high economic and cultural capitals and low mobilization patterns, while switching and shifting correspond to young adults with moderate and low capitals and mobilization patterns.

Table 1. Job seekers analyzed sample: Characteristics and contact mobilization.

Pseudonym	Age	Gender	Social Status	<i>Pattern of mobilization (No. of contacts used)</i>	<i>Successful^a</i>	<i>Strong ties</i>	<i>Homophily^b ()</i>
Anne	33	W	High	Low (3)	Yes	14/20	Yes
Jan	29	M	High	Low (5)	Yes	10/20	Yes
Noa	29	W	High	Low (5)	Yes	8/20	Yes
Valeri	32	M	High	Moderate (8)	Yes	15/20	Yes
Ciro	30	M	Middle	Moderate (9)	No	9/20	Yes
Tina	31	W	Middle	Moderate (9)	Yes	18/20	Yes
Quen	29	M	Middle	Moderate (8)	No	13/20	No
Mirko	31	M	Middle	Moderate (10)	Yes	11/20	Yes
Sere	33	W	Middle	High (15)	No	9/20	No
Tere	32	W	Middle	Moderate (8)	No	11/20	No
Marta	31	W	Low	Moderate (12)	Yes	7/20	Yes
Alex	29	M	Low	High (5)	No	12/20	Yes
Javier	29	M	Low	Moderate (11)	No	10/20	No
Gracia	32	W	Low	Moderate (9)	Yes	15/20	Yes
Julián	29	M	Low	High (19)	No	11/20	Yes
Nerea	31	W	Low	Moderate (10)	Yes	10/20	No
Dario	30	M	Low	High (19)	No	18/20	Yes
Miki	28	M	Low	Moderate (8)	No	15/20	Yes

- a) The interviewee is currently employed thanks to the mediation of a personal contacts.
 b) Educational homophily.